

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERI/	AL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Ø8.	/158,031	11/26/93	FILEPP	-	R	PRDØØ6
	-		•	-	TO NOT THE A	EXAMINER
			24M1/0412		SMITH, M	
	JL C. SC:				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, BROADWA J YORK, 1	AY, SUITE 41	703			8
	-	1. 102/5		4	2412	0
_			-		-	•
		n from the examiner in PATENTS AND TRADE	charge of your application.		DATE MAILED:	Ø4/12/95
	-	٠. ٠		1	•	
•		-			-26-94	
mŽ.	·			1 i.	294	<u> </u>
hi: کلانا	s application has	s been examined	Hesponsive to communication	on tiled on 1		This action is made final
			nis action is set to expiresee will cause the application to b	month(s), _ ecome abandon		om the date of this letter.
Part	THE FOLLOW	NG ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	-		
	<b>-</b> /		-	-	-	*
		ferences Cited by Exa	,			tent Drawing Review, PTO-948
聖片	<del>-</del> 7	Cited by Applicant, PT		4. Notic	e of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152.
	information t	on now to Ellect Drawi	ng Changes, PTO-1474.	• LJ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part II	- SUMMARY O	FACTION		,		
1.	Claims (	-17.				_ are pending in the application
	<u>_</u>		and of the same of	ĵ		_ are perioring in the application
	Of the ab	ove, claims		-	are	withdrawn from consideration.
2.	Claims			·	,	have been cancelled.
	-		-			_ 11479 20011 04110011041
4	Claims	<del> </del>				_ are allowed.
4. 🔂		1-17	- 1			_ are rejected.
5. 🗀	Claims		19			_ are objected to.
6 🗀	Claims			are	subject to restriction	on or election requirement.
٠. ــــ	Oldinis		-	are	· Subject to restriction	or or election requirement.
7. 🔲	This application	has been filed with inf	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	1.85 which are a	cceptable for exam	ination purposes.
8. 🗀	Formal drawing	s are required in respo	nse to this Office action.	į.		
			ave been received on (see explanation or Notice of Dra			.F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948).
10.	The proposed a examiner;	additional or substitute lisapproved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on $\int dx$	0-26-44	has (have) been	approved by the
11. 🗆	The proposed d	rawing correction, filed	, has be	een □approve	ed; Ddisapproved	(see explanation).
			n for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119		copy has Deen re	eceived
			condition for allowance except f	1	s, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
á	accordance with	the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	O.G. 213.		يان سفد د دني
-14: 🗔 (	Other	and the second of the second o	The street			outrand to the second of the s
			المنطقية المعادية		د وې د استنسستند د بند الله د الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Art Unit: 2301

rigge.

Į.,... Į.,...

Ŀ.

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- the phrase "at least one procedures" is vague and indefinite (claim 3, line 3 and 4).
- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
    (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8, 9 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}\$ 102(a) as being anticipated by Microsoft Windows; Version 2.0.
  - As per independent claim 1, 2.0 teaches generating a screen display that includes a plurality of partitions that may be reused and generating at least a first partition for presenting applications (With Windows, you can run several different applications at once, and switch from one to another without quitting any of them) (Book 1, page viii). 2.0 also teaches generating concurrently with the first partition a second partition for presenting command functions (drop-down menus) (Book 1, page x).

Art Unit: 2301

As per claim 2, 2.0 teaches providing the command functions with a command for moving between available applications (alt+tab) (page 83, lines 19-34) as well as using the mouse to select desired applications. 2.0 also teaches subgroup command functions (using the Fonts command) (Book 4, page 36, line 7 - page 37, lines 12).

As per claims 3, 4 and 10, 2.0 teaches subgroup command functions (using the Fonts command) (Book 4, page 36, line 7 - page 37, lines 12).

As per claim 5, 2.0 teaches entering a character string to search for an application ( $\underline{S}$ earch) (command bar, bottom Figure page xi).

As per claims 8 and 9, 2.0 teaches using a physical analogy to select a desired application (Paint commands) (top Figure, page xii).

As per claims 12 and 14, 2.0 teaches multiple screen partitions (Figure, page x).

As per claim 13, 2.0 inherently teaches advertising. 2.0 teaches using various art to represent various displays (icons) therefore it is inherent that if the intent was to sell a product then one could create an ad of some sort.

As per claims 15-17, 2.0 teaches partitions with fields (Figure, page viii).

Art Unit: 2301

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 6, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as 5. being unpatentable over Microsoft Windows; Version 2.0.

As per claims 6 and 7, it is old and well known to use an  $\P$  index or directory when searching such as that found in an Help command, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a feature such as this in order to enable easier searches.

As per claims 10 and 11, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to let the user program order of selected applications therefore allowing for more efficient user interaction during further processing.

The state of the s

fra).

Art Unit: 2301

- 6. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 10-26-94 have been approved by the examiner.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 1-12-95 have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive (page 6).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael 5mith whose telephone number is (703) 305-9797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 305-9701. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9564(65).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2300

VVV) MSS April 11, 1995